

Key messages from research on children and young people who display harmful sexual behaviour

Di McNeish and Sara Scott, DMSS Research
Second edition, February 2023

Key messages

The term ‘harmful sexual behaviour’ describes a continuum of behaviours displayed by children and young people under 18, ranging from those considered ‘inappropriate’ at a particular age or developmental stage to ‘problematic’, ‘abusive’ and ‘violent’ behaviours.

There are no accurate prevalence figures on the full spectrum of harmful sexual behaviours. However, available data suggests that under-18s are responsible for a significant proportion of child sexual abuse, and there is considerable concern about widespread and ‘normalised’ sexual harassment and abuse between students in schools.

In pre-adolescent children, behaviour is more likely to be at the ‘inappropriate’ or ‘problematic’ end of the continuum. Most pre-adolescent children displaying harmful sexual behaviour have themselves been sexually abused or experienced other kinds of trauma or neglect.

Older children and young people displaying harmful sexual behaviour are mostly boys, many of whom have a history of adverse childhood experiences and family difficulties.

It is important to remember that most sexually abused children and young people do not go on to abuse others, and the majority of children and young people displaying harmful sexual behaviour do not commit sexual offences as adults.

The limited research into technology-assisted harmful sexual behaviour suggests that boys who engage ‘only’ in watching images of child sexual abuse online have far less troubled histories than those who commit other sexual offences. There may sometimes be a link between viewing online pornography and subsequent harmful sexual behaviours.

There is limited published research on effective interventions, particularly at the ‘problematic’ end of the continuum, although there is a general consensus that interventions need to be holistic, child-focused and involve parents/carers.

Professionals working with children need knowledge and skills to respond to harmful sexual behaviour, and to create organisational contexts which maximise safety from abuse. Prevention education should take a long-term, ‘whole school’ approach to healthy relationships, and involve children and young people in development and delivery. Broader public health approaches which challenge gender inequality and patriarchal values and attitudes are also required.

Our ‘Key messages from research’ papers aim to provide succinct, relevant information for frontline practitioners and commissioners. They bring together the most up-to-date research into an accessible overview, supporting confident provision of the best possible responses to child sexual abuse.

What is harmful sexual behaviour?

Harmful sexual behaviour has been defined as sexual behaviour by under-18s that is “*developmentally inappropriate, may be harmful towards self or others and/or be abusive towards another child, young person or adult*” (Hackett et al, 2019). Those either exhibiting or being harmed by such behaviours may be male or female. Behaviour that is ‘developmentally appropriate’ in young children may be concerning in adolescence, while other behaviours, normal in adolescence, would be worrying in younger children (Ryan, 2000; Friedrich et al, 2001).

Sexual behaviours in children and young people have been described as existing on a continuum ranging from what is widely considered ‘normal’ and developmentally expected, through ‘inappropriate’ and ‘problematic’, to ‘abusive’ and ‘violent’ (Hackett, 2010). The concept of ‘normal’ sexual behaviour by children and young people is not straightforward: what is ‘expected’ or ‘common’ at different developmental stages is culturally and historically variable, and behaviour considered ‘normal’ by some people in some contexts may also be problematic or abusive. For example, behaviour experienced as harassment by many victims is widely regarded as normal by many other young people (Stanley et al, 2016; Coy et al, 2016; Ofsted, 2021; Department for Education, 2022a).

The extent of harmful sexual behaviour

Among referrals to local authority children’s services in England during 2021/22 where sexual abuse was assessed to be a concern, 40% involved harmful sexual behaviour (Department for Education, 2022b). However, accurate figures for the extent of harmful sexual behaviour do not exist, not least because it covers such a broad spectrum of behaviours, most of which do not come to agencies’ attention.

The vast majority of harmful sexual behaviour involves children and young people who are well known to each other. It has been estimated that between a quarter and a half of harmful sexual behaviour involves siblings or close relatives such as cousins, nephews and nieces (Yates and Allardyce, 2021).

A number of large-scale surveys indicate that girls are routinely subject to harmful sexual behaviour in their everyday lives. A survey on sexism in secondary schools found a highly gendered picture of sexual harassment: 37% of girls reported experiencing sexual harassment, compared to 6% of boys (National Education Union and UK Feminista, 2017). A rapid review of sexual harassment and assault in schools and colleges in England found that sexual harassment was ‘commonplace’, and nearly 90% of girls – as well as 50% of boys – said being sent explicit pictures or videos of things they did not want to see happened ‘a lot’ or ‘sometimes’ (Ofsted, 2021). In a similar review in Wales, girls described a constant pressure from boys to send nude photographs (Estyn, 2021), and more than half of boys said they were personally involved in sexual harassment.

What is known about children and young people who display harmful sexual behaviour?

Pre-adolescent children

While the behaviour of some pre-adolescent children may be 'problematic', it is seldom intentionally abusive (Johnson and Doonan, 2005). Many pre-adolescent children displaying harmful sexual behaviour have been sexually abused or exposed to developmentally inappropriate sexual experiences, such as seeing pornography (Johnson and Doonan, 2005; Chromy, 2007). Their behaviour may be a way of communicating what has happened to them or an indirect response to other factors in their lives, including other forms of trauma and neglect (Gray et al, 1999).

Adolescents

The early teens are the peak time for the occurrence of harmful sexual behaviour (Ryan et al, 1996; Taylor, 2003; Hackett et al, 2013). The vast majority of adolescents who display such behaviour are male (Taylor, 2003; Vizard et al, 2007; Finkelhor et al, 2009; Hackett et al, 2013; Fox and DeLisi, 2018), but it is rare for research or practice to focus on the significance of gender (Allardyce et al, 2021).

Most research has been conducted with criminal justice or 'clinical' populations and has repeatedly found that boys who have committed a sexual offence, or have been referred to specialist services because of concerns about their behaviour, generally have other major difficulties in their lives; these include experience of physical or sexual abuse or neglect, witnessing domestic violence, or having parents with mental health or substance abuse issues (Salter et al, 2003; Skuse et al, 1998; Glasser et al, 2001; Ogloff et al, 2012; Levenson et al, 2017; Yoder et al, 2018; Tougas et al, 2016; Malvaso et al, 2020; Faure-Walker and Hunt, 2022). Adverse childhood experiences tend to be more strongly linked to antisocial behaviour in males than in females (Schilling et al, 2007; Vaswani, 2018).

Adolescents displaying abusive or violent sexual behaviour are, like their peers whose offending behaviour is non-sexual, likely to have low self-esteem, poor social skills and difficulties with anger, depression and peer relationships (Chaffin et al, 2002; Ward and Siegert, 2002; Yoder et al, 2018).

Given these factors, it is unsurprising that adult outcomes are often poor. While numerous studies suggest that rates of further sexual offending are low (e.g. McCann and Lussier, 2008), a recent 10- to 20-year follow-up of young people previously referred to a specialist service found that only a quarter had entirely successful life outcomes; unstable relationships and living situations, health issues, and drug and alcohol misuse were common experiences (Hackett et al, 2022).

When harmful sexual behaviour involves siblings, it may occur within a context of family violence and neglect (McDonald and Martinez, 2017; Tener, 2021; Yates and Allardyce, 2021). Compared with other intra-familial abuse, it may occur more often and over longer periods, and be more likely to involve intrusive and penetrative acts (Cyr et al, 2002).

It is increasingly recognised that some forms of sexual harassment and abusive behaviours between adolescents are so commonplace in schools and colleges that some young people may regard them as 'normal' (Ofsted, 2021). More highly abusive and violent sexual behaviour may occur in the context of delinquent groups or gangs, where sexual violence can be coerced as well as normalised (Firmin with Lloyd, 2017). Higher levels of general antisocial behaviour tend to be shown by adolescents who behave in sexually harmful ways towards their peers, compared with those whose harmful sexual behaviour targets younger children (Parks, 2007; Leversee, 2015; Leibowitz et al, 2016; Fox, 2017).

It is important to remember that most victims of sexual abuse do not go on to abuse others (Salter et al, 2003) and that most children and young people who display harmful sexual behaviour do not go on to sexually offend as adults (Zimring et al, 2009; Aebi et al, 2015). However, older adolescents who abuse younger children, and those whose sexual behaviours involve violence, are at greater risk of further sexual offending (Hackett et al, 2013).

Children with learning disabilities or autism

Children and young people with learning disabilities are more vulnerable both to being sexual abused (Sullivan and Knutson, 2000) and to displaying inappropriate or problematic sexual behaviour; in one large UK study, 38% of under-18s referred to specialist services because of harmful sexual behaviour were assessed as having a learning disability (Hackett et al, 2013). However, it is likely that the high level of adult supervision of children and young people with learning disabilities means that their sexual behaviour is more likely to be observed and problematised (Allardyce and Yates, 2018).

Reasons why some children and young people with learning disabilities may be more likely to display harmful sexual behaviour include having less understanding that some sexual behaviours are not acceptable, and fewer opportunities to establish acceptable sexual relationships; receiving less sex education; struggling with social skills; and relating more easily to children younger than themselves (Allardyce and Yates, 2018; O'Callaghan, 1998).

While research suggests that individuals with autism spectrum disorders are not at increased risk of offending generally (Mouridsen, 2012), a proportion of harmful sexual behaviours in individuals with autism may result from specific difficulties in understanding what other people may be thinking or feeling (Mogavero, 2016; Allely and Creaby-Attwood, 2016).

'The Good Way', a strengths-based model for working with adolescents with learning disabilities, has been developed in New Zealand (Ayland and West, 2006; Weedon, 2015). The 'Keep Safe' manualised group cognitive behavioural therapy intervention in the UK is another recent development (Malovic et al, 2018).

Girls and young women

Most research into harmful sexual behaviour is based on male populations or includes only small numbers of girls (Hallett et al, 2019). However, studies have found that girls and young women displaying abusive sexual behaviour are likely to have experienced more victimisation (including intra-familial sexual abuse, other forms of abuse and frequent exposure to family violence) than boys (Miccio-Fonseca, 2000 and 2016; Kubik et al, 2003). Two UK studies (Mathews et al, 1997; Masson et al, 2015) found that, compared to boys, girls who sexually harmed had typically experienced more chronic and extensive maltreatment in childhood, had been sexually abused at an earlier age and were more likely to have been abused by more than one person.

Harmful sexual behaviour tends to be identified at a younger age in girls than in boys, and tends to involve younger victims (Finkelhor et al, 2009). It is relatively rare for girls' abusive sexual behaviour to involve the use of physical force. Girls displaying harmful sexual behaviour are less likely than boys to be charged with an offence, in part because they and their victims tend to be younger (Hutton and Whyte, 2006; Hickey et al, 2008) – but, like boys who display harmful sexual behaviour, they often have difficulties in school and relatively high levels of learning difficulties (Scott and Telford, 2006; McCartan et al, 2011).

Barnardo's has developed a resource pack for practitioners supporting girls who have displayed harmful sexual behaviour to develop healthy sexual relationships (Barnardo's Cymru, 2016).

Technology-assisted harmful sexual behaviour

Although the use of technology is now fundamental to many people's everyday interactions, research into the role of technology in harmful sexual behaviour is still very limited. Given the changing context in which children and young people use social media – with Wi-Fi and mobile data now enabling internet access away from home and adult supervision – it is a challenge to determine what are developmentally 'normal' as opposed to 'problematic' online behaviours. For example, a UK survey found that 48% of 11–16-year-olds had viewed pornography – and among those who had done so, 34% reported seeing it once a week or more (Martellozzo et al, 2020).

One UK study found that, among young males displaying both online-facilitated and 'offline' harmful sexual behaviour, the developmentally inappropriate use of pornography had been a trigger for their offline behaviour in more than half of cases (Hollis and Belton, 2017). In a study of young people across Europe, boys who regularly watched online pornography were found to be significantly more likely to hold negative gender attitudes; furthermore, regularly watching pornography and sending/receiving sexual images or messages were both associated with increased probability of being sexual coercive (Stanley et al, 2016).

The relationship between viewing pornography and subsequent harmful behaviours is likely to depend on the type and content of material viewed, the motivation for viewing, and factors such as age at first viewing and the intensity of viewing (Svedin et al, 2011). It may be that children and young people at greater risk of engaging in such behaviour are more likely to seek out pornography, or that the relationship is multi-directional (Alexy et al, 2009; Horvath et al, 2013; Patterson et al, 2022).

Some studies indicate that, compared with those who commit other kinds of sexual offence, young people who are identified 'only' as viewing pictures of child sexual abuse are generally less likely to have experienced adverse childhood experiences, and more likely to come from stable and economically advantaged family backgrounds and be achieving well educationally (Moultrie, 2006; Stevens et al, 2013; Aebi et al, 2014).

Effective assessment

There is little published research on appropriate ways of assessing children and young people who display harmful sexual behaviour. However, there is general agreement that any assessment should take their social, emotional and cognitive development into account, along with their and their family's whole circumstances – including any prior experience of abuse. Viewing harmful sexual behaviour through the lens of trauma is important, to identify vulnerabilities and areas of unmet need (Chaffin et al, 2002; Hackett, 2014).

There is therefore general support for the use of holistic assessment tools. These tools consider the specific risks of the child or young person's behaviour (including online) and motivations, and their needs and strengths at individual, family and community levels (Hackett, 2014; Prentky et al, 2010; Griffin et al, 2008, Allardyce and Yates, 2018). A number aim to assess the likelihood of harmful sexual behaviour persisting or escalating, but none has been validated as a predictive measure (Carson, 2017; Prentky et al, 2010).

Assessments can take place for a variety of purposes: clinical, child protection or criminal justice. It is important to remember that children and young people displaying harmful sexual behaviour are a diverse population, and the crossover of 'victim' to 'perpetrator' may not always be clear (Faure-Walker and Hunt, 2022). A tiered approach, ensuring that the level and intrusiveness of assessment matches the seriousness of the behaviour and the legal context, has been proposed (Allardyce and Yates, 2018).

Effective interventions

It is important to recognise that most evidence to date on the effectiveness of interventions comes from studies of boys and young men who have been convicted of sexual offences. There is little evidence on interventions at the ‘inappropriate’ or ‘problematic’ end of the continuum, or on interventions involving girls or children with learning disabilities.

Intervention approaches to display harmful sexual behaviour tend to be ‘gender-blind’ and rarely address male privilege, gender inequality, ‘toxic’ forms of masculinity or victim-blaming myths that underpin sexual harm (Allardyce et al, 2021). It has been suggested that a more sociological and feminist-informed approach to intervention may be required, and that failing to address the role of patriarchal values and attitudes in the development of harmful sexual behaviours may leave children and young people at risk of involvement in further gendered violence in adolescence and adulthood (Allardyce et al, 2021).

Most evaluated interventions incorporate a cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) element (Carpentier et al, 2006; St Amand et al, 2008). However, a recent Cochrane review identified only four randomised-control trials of CBT eligible for inclusion; it concluded that, on the basis of the available evidence, it is uncertain whether CBT reduces harmful sexual behaviour compared to other treatments (Sneddon et al, 2020).

However, there is broad consensus that interventions need to be proportionate to the nature and extent of the behaviour, and to the child or young person’s age and developmental stage; joined-up processes are needed, to avoid agencies under- or overreacting to harmful sexual behaviour (Hackett et al, 2005; Smith et al, 2013).

Involving parents and carers in treatment appears to be crucial (St Amand et al, 2008; Barry and Harris, 2019). The most valuable approaches are structured and holistic, considering the child or young person’s whole situation (not just their problem behaviour), equipping them with interpersonal skills as well as knowledge, and underpinned by a therapeutic relationship built on trust (Chaffin et al, 2002; Hackett et al, 2006; Fonagy et al, 2017; Campbell et al, 2016; Faure-Walker and Hunt, 2022).

Given that many children and young people displaying harmful sexual behaviour have themselves experienced abuse, trauma-informed interventions identified as effective with child victims are also relevant (Saunders et al, 2003).

Historically, many interventions were derived from models developed with adult sex offenders and are now widely considered to be inappropriate (Campbell et al, 2016). There has been a gradual shift towards more ecological, developmentally sensitive and individualised approaches to both assessment and intervention (Allardyce and Yates, 2018). This has occurred alongside an increased interest in resilience models, which aim to mobilise the child or young person’s strengths and reduce the risk of repeat harmful sexual behaviour by helping them develop positive relationships and pro-social ambitions (Hackett, 2006; Ward et al, 2007). Although there has been little evaluation of such approaches, their principles are consistent with what we know from offender follow-up studies about factors contributing to better outcomes – such as having aspirations and hopes for the future, stable and enduring adult relationships, better educational achievement, and opportunities and skills to gain employment (Hackett et al, 2012).

Prevention

There continues to be a ‘sexual double standard’ through which boys’ sexual behaviours – including sexting – increase their status with male peers, while girls are condemned or ‘slut-shamed’ if images of them are shared (Agnew, 2021; Quayle and Cariola, 2017). Research suggests that many young people learn about sex primarily through pornography, leading young men to think that sex should be ‘aggressive’ and ‘forceful’ (Coy et al, 2016).

After the Everyone’s Invited website (www.everyonesinvited.uk/) highlighted experiences of sexual abuse and harassment in schools across the UK, Ofsted and Estyn found that some teachers and school leaders underestimated the scale of the problem (Ofsted, 2021; Estyn, 2021), confirming an earlier survey in which 61% of girls aged 11–16 said school staff sometimes or always dismissed sexual harassment as just banter or ‘boys messing around’ (Girlguiding, 2014). A quarter (27%) of secondary teachers would not feel confident tackling a sexist incident if they experienced or witnessed it in school (National Education Union and UK Feminista, 2017).

Punitive and sanctions-based approaches to harmful sexual behaviour have been found to reduce disclosure by children – who do not see them as effective – and limit staff decision making (Lloyd and Bradbury, 2022).

Most prevention-focused activity takes the form of school-based programmes to raise awareness of sexual exploitation, internet safety, consent and sexual harassment, and to promote healthy relationships. The rationale is generally that unhealthy attitudes and behaviours need to be addressed early, at an individual level and at community and societal levels (Letourneau et al, 2017).

Evaluations of such school-based programmes have found only minimal impact on behaviour, although they can build confidence, increase knowledge and change some attitudes that may legitimise harmful behaviours (Ludvigsen and Scott, 2021; McNeish and Scott, 2015). The most effective approaches are longer-term and involve children and young people in development and delivery (Bovarnick and Scott, 2016; Davis and Gidycz, 2000). They also take a whole-school approach: alongside classroom-based sessions, schools need to consider how they promote healthy relationships across the curriculum, in their bullying and safeguarding policies, in their pastoral support and in the information and support they give parents (Scott et al, 2019).

New government guidance for schools and colleges in England on dealing with sexual violence and harassment (Department for Education, 2022a) emphasises the importance of a whole-school approach to safeguarding, a strong preventative education programme, and a zero-tolerance approach to inappropriate behaviour in order to prevent problematic, abusive and/or violent behaviour in the future.

A much broader approach to prevention has recently been advocated, incorporating a public health approach to addressing underlying risk factors; a gendered analysis of inequalities, attitudes and norms as drivers of abuse; and psycho-social education and interventions at an individual level (Scottish Government, 2020; see also McCartan and Kemshall, 2021).

References

- Aebi, M., Landolt, M., Mueller-Pfeiffer, C., Schnyder, U., Maier, T. and Mohler-Kuo, M. (2015) Testing the “sexually abused–abuser hypothesis” in adolescents: A population-based study. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 44(8):2189–2199.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-014-0440-x>
- Aebi, M., Plattner, B., Ernest, M., Kaszynski, K. and Bessler, C. (2014) Criminal history and future offending of juveniles convicted of the possession of child pornography. *Sexual Abuse*, 26(4):375–390.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1079063213492344>
- Agnew, E. (2021) Sexting among young people: Towards a gender sensitive approach. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 29(1):3–30.
<https://doi.org/10.1163/15718182-28040010>
- Alexy, E., Burgess, A. and Prentky, R. (2009) Pornography use as a risk marker for an aggressive pattern of behavior among sexually reactive children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association*, 14(6):442–453.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1078390308327137>
- Allardyce, S. and Yates, P. (2018) *Working with Children and Young People Who Have Displayed Harmful Sexual Behaviour*. Edinburgh: Dunedin Academic Press.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/jj.12639024>
- Allardyce, S., Yates, P. and Wareham, S. (2021) How could a gender-sensitive approach help us to identify and respond to children who have displayed harmful sexual behaviour? In Bradbury-Jones, C. and Isham, L. (eds.) *Understanding Gender-Based Violence: An Essential Textbook for Nurses, Healthcare Professionals and Social Workers*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-65006-3_4
- Allely, C. and Creaby-Attwood, A. (2016) Sexual offending and autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Intellectual Disabilities and Offending Behaviour*, 7(1): 35–51. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIDOB-09-2015-0029>
- Ayland, L. and West, B. (2006) The Good Way model: A strengths-based approach for working with young people, especially those with intellectual difficulties, who have sexually abusive behaviour. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 12(2):189–201.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600600841680>
- Barnardo's Cymru (2016) *Girls' Talk: Supporting Girls to Develop Healthy Sexual Relationships*. Barking: Barnardo's.
- Barry, S and Harris, E. (2019) The children's programme: A description of a group and family intervention for children engaging in problematic and harmful sexual behaviour and their parents/carers. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 25(2): 193–206.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2019.1589002>
- Bovarnick, S. and Scott, S. (2016) *Child Sexual Exploitation Prevention Education: A Rapid Evidence Assessment*. Barking: Barnardo's.
- Campbell, F., Stepanova, E., Hackett, S., Booth, A., Sutton, A. and Hynes, K. (2016) *Harmful Sexual Behaviour in Children: Evidence for Identifying and Helping Children and Young People Who Display Harmful Sexual Behaviour*. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence.
- Carpentier, M., Silovsky, J. and Chaffin, M. (2006) Randomized trial of treatment for children with sexual behavior problems: Ten-year follow-up. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74(3):482–488.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.74.3.482>
- Carson, C. (2017) *Understanding and Managing Problematic and Harmful Sexual Behaviours in Education Settings (3rd edition)*. Manchester: AIM Project.
- Chaffin, M., Letourneau, E. and Silovsky, J. (2002) Adults, adolescents, and children who sexually abuse children: A developmental perspective. In Myers, J., Berliner, L., Briere, J., Hendrix, C., Jenny, C. and Reid, T. (eds.) *The APSAC Handbook on Child Maltreatment (2nd edition)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Chromy, S. (2007) Sexually abused children who exhibit sexual behavior problems: Victimization characteristics. *Brief Treatment and Crisis Intervention*, 7(1):25–33.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/brief-treatment/mhl019>
- Coy, M., Kelly, L., Vera-Gray, F., Garner, M. and Kanyeredzi, A. (2016). From ‘no means no’ to ‘an enthusiastic yes’: Changing the discourse on sexual consent through sex and relationships education. In Sundaram, V. and Sauntson, H. (eds.) *Global Perspectives and Key Debates in Sex and Relationships Education: Addressing Issues of Gender, Sexuality, Plurality and Power*. London: Palgrave Pivot.
https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137500229_6

- Cyr, M., Wright, J., McDuff, P. and Perron, A. (2002) Intrafamilial sexual abuse: Brother–sister incest does not differ from father–daughter and stepfather–stepdaughter incest. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 26(9):957–973.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(02\)00365-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(02)00365-4)
- Davis, M. and Gidycz, C. (2000) Child sexual abuse prevention programs: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 29(2):257–265.
https://doi.org/10.1207/S15374424jccp2902_11
- Department for Education (2022a) *Keeping Children Safe in Education*. London: DfE.
- Department for Education (2022b) Characteristics of children in need: 2021–2022. Table C3. [Online.] Available at: www.gov.uk/government/statistics/characteristics-of-children-in-need-2021-to-2022 [Accessed 4 November 2022.]
- Estyn (2021) *“We Don’t Tell Our Teachers”: Experiences of Peer-on-peer Sexual Harassment among Secondary School Pupils in Wales*. Cardiff: Estyn.
- Faure-Walker, D. and Hunt, N. (2022) The prevalence of adverse childhood experiences among children and adolescents who display harmful sexual behaviour: A review of the existing research. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma*, 15(4):1051–1061.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40653-022-00444-7>
- Finkelhor, D., Ormrod, R. and Chaffin, M. (2009) *Juveniles Who Commit Sex Offenses Against Minors (Juvenile Justice Bulletin)*. Washington, DC: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, US Department of Justice.
- Firmin, C. with Lloyd, J. (2017) *The Individual and Contextual Characteristics of Young People Who Sexually Harm in Groups: A Briefing on the Findings from a Study in Four London Boroughs*. Luton: University of Bedfordshire.
- Fonagy, P., Butler, S., Baly, A., Seto, M., Anokhina, A., Kaminska, K. and Ellison, R. (2017) *Evaluation of Multisystemic Therapy for Adolescent Problematic Sexual Behaviour*. London: Department for Education.
- Fox, B. (2017) What makes a difference? Evaluating the key distinctions and predictors of sexual and non-sexual offending among male and female juvenile offenders. *Journal of Criminal Psychology*, 7(2):134–150.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JCP-12-2016-0047>
- Fox, B. and DeLisi, M. (2018) From criminological heterogeneity to coherent classes: Developing a typology of juvenile sex offenders. *Youth Violence and Juvenile Justice*, 16(3):299–318.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1541204017699257>
- Friedrich, W., Fisher, J., Dittner, C., Acton, R., Berliner, L., Butler, J., Damon, L., Davies, W., Grey, A. and Wright, J. (2001) Child sexual behaviour inventory: Normative, psychiatric, and sexual abuse comparisons. *Child Maltreatment*, 6(1):37–49.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559501006001004>
- Girlguiding (2014) *Girls’ Attitudes Survey 2014*. London: Girlguiding.
- Glasser, M., Kolvin, I., Campbell, D., Glasser, A., Leitch, I. and Farrelly, S. (2001) Cycle of child sexual abuse: Links between being a victim and becoming a perpetrator. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 179(6):482–494.
<https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.179.6.482>
- Gray, A., Pithers, W., Busconi, A. and Houchens, P. (1999) Developmental and etiological characteristics of children with sexual behavior problems: Treatment implications. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 23(6):601–621.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(99\)00027-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(99)00027-7)
- Griffin, H., Beech, A., Print, B., Bradshaw, H. and Quayle, J. (2008) The development and initial testing of the AIM2 framework to assess risk and strengths in young people who sexually offend. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 14(3):211–225.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600802366593>
- Hackett, S. (2014) *Children and Young People with Harmful Sexual Behaviours: Research Review*. Dartington: Research in Practice.
- Hackett, S. (2010) Children and young people with harmful sexual behaviours. In Barter, C. and Berridge, D. (eds.) *Children Behaving Badly? Peer Violence between Children and Young People*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470976586.ch9>
- Hackett, S. (2006) Towards a resilience-based intervention model for young people with harmful sexual behaviours. In Erooga, M. and Masson, H. (eds.) *Children and Young People Who Sexually Abuse Others: Current Developments and Practice Responses (2nd edition)*. Abingdon: Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203000847>

Hackett, S., Branigan, P. and Holmes, D. (2019) *Harmful Sexual Behaviour Framework: An Evidence-informed Operational Framework for Children and Young People Displaying Harmful Sexual Behaviours (2nd edition)*. London: NSPCC.

Hackett, S., Darling, A., Phillips, J., Masson, H. and Balfe, M. (2022) Life course outcomes and developmental pathways for children and young people with harmful sexual behaviour. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, advance article.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2022.2124323>

Hackett, S., Masson, H. and Phillips, S. (2006) Exploring consensus in practice with youth who are sexually abusive: Findings from a Delphi study of practitioner views in the United Kingdom and the Republic of Ireland. *Child Maltreatment*, 11(2):146–156.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559505285744>

Hackett, S., Masson, H. and Phillips, S. (2005) *Services for Young People Who Sexually Abuse*. London: Youth Justice Board for England and Wales.

Hackett, S., Phillips, J., Masson, H. and Balfe, M. (2013) Individual, family and abuse characteristics of 700 British child and adolescent sexual abusers. *Child Abuse Review*, 22(4):232–245.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/car.2246>

Hackett, S., Phillips, J., Masson, H. and Balfe, M. (2012) *Recidivism, Desistance and Life Course Trajectories of Young Sexual Abusers: An In-depth Follow-up Study, 10 Years On*. Durham: School of Applied Social Sciences, Durham University.

Hallett, S., Deerfield, K. and Hudson, K. (2019) The same but different? Exploring the links between gender, trauma, sexual exploitation and harmful sexual behaviours. *Child Abuse Review*, 28(6):442–454.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/car.2591>

Hickey, N., McCrory, E., Farmer, E. and Vizard, E. (2008) Comparing the developmental and behavioural characteristics of female and male juveniles who present with sexually abusive behaviour. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 14(3):241–252.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600802389793>

Hollis, V. and Belton, E. (2017) *Children and Young People Who Engage in Technology-assisted Harmful Sexual Behaviour: A Study of Their Behaviours, Backgrounds and Characteristics*. London: NSPCC.

Horvath, M., Alys, L., Massey, K., Pina, A., Scally, M. and Adler, J. (2013) *“Basically... Porn Is Everywhere”: A Rapid Evidence Assessment on the Effect That Access and Exposure to Pornography Has on Children and Young People*. London: Office of the Children’s Commissioner.

Hutton, L. and Whyte, B. (2006) Children and young people with harmful sexual behaviours: First analysis of data from a Scottish sample. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 12(2):115–125.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600600816252>

Johnson, T. and Doonan, R. (2005) Children twelve and younger with sexual behavior problems: What we know in 2005 that we didn’t know in 1985. In Longo, R. and Prescott, D. (eds.) *Current Perspectives: Working with Sexually Aggressive Youth and Youth with Sexual Behavior Problems*. Holyoke, MA: NEARI Press.

Kubik, E., Hecker, J. and Righthand, S. (2003) Adolescent females who have sexually offended: Comparisons with delinquent adolescent female offenders and adolescent males who sexually offend. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, 11(3):63–83.

https://doi.org/10.1300/J070v11n03_04

Leibowitz, G., Akakpo, T. and Burton, D. (2016) Comparison of non-sexual crimes committed by juvenile sexual offenders and delinquent youth in residential treatment in the USA. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 22(1):66–81.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2015.1026854>

Letourneau, E., Schaeffer, C., Bradshaw, C. and Feder, K. (2017) Preventing the onset of child sexual abuse by targeting young adolescents with universal prevention programming. *Child Maltreatment*, 22(2):100–111.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559517692439>

Levenson, J., Baglivio, M., Wolff, K., Epps, N., Royall, W., Gomez, K. and Kaplan, D. (2017) You learn what you live: Prevalence of childhood adversity in the lives of juveniles arrested for sexual offenses. *Advances in Social Work*, 18(1):313–334. <https://doi.org/10.18060/21204>

- Leversee, T. (2015) *Etiology and Typologies of Juveniles Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses (Sex Offender Management Assessment and Planning Initiative Research Brief)*. Washington, DC: Office of Sex Offender Sentencing, Monitoring, Apprehending, Registering, and Tracking, US Department of Justice.
- Lloyd, J. and Bradbury, V. (2022) Zero tolerance to sexual harm in schools – From broken rules to broken systems. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, advance article. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2022.2057605>
- Ludvigsen, A. and Scott, S. (2021) *Preventing Violence Against Women and Girls and Serious Youth Violence through a 'Whole School Approach'*. North Dalton: DMSS Research.
- McCann, K. and Lussier, P. (2008) Antisociality, sexual deviance, and sexual reoffending in juvenile sex offenders: A meta-analytical investigation. *Youth Violence and Juvenile Justice*, 6(4):363–385. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1541204008320260>
- McCartan, F., Law, H., Murphy, M. and Bailey, S. (2011) Child and adolescent females who present with sexually abusive behaviours: A 10-year UK prevalence study. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 17(1):4–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2010.488302>
- McCartan, K. and Kemshall, H. (2021) Incorporating quaternary prevention: Understanding the full scope of public health practices in sexual abuse prevention. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, advance article. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X211049204>
- McDonald, C. and Martinez, K. (2017) Victims' retrospective explanations of sibling sexual violence. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, 26(7):874–888. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10538712.2017.1354953>
- McNeish, D. and Scott, S. (2015) *An Independent Evaluation of Rape Crisis Scotland's Sexual Violence Prevention Project: Final Report*. North Dalton: DMSS Research.
- Malovic, A., Rossiter, R. and Murphy, G. (2018) Keep Safe: The development of a manualised group CBT intervention for adolescents with ID who display harmful sexual behaviours. *Journal of Intellectual Disabilities and Offending Behaviour*, 9(1):49–58. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIDOB-10-2017-0023>
- Malvaso, C., Proeve, M., Delfabbro, P. and Cale, J. (2020) Characteristics of children with problem sexual behaviour and adolescent perpetrators of sexual abuse: A systematic review. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 26(1):36–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2019.1651914>
- Martellozzo, E., Monaghan, A., Davidson, J. and Adler, J. (2020) Researching the affects that online pornography has on UK adolescents aged 11 to 16. *SAGE Open*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019899462>
- Masson, H., Hackett, S., Phillips, J. and Balfe, M. (2015) Developmental markers of risk or vulnerability? Young females who sexually abuse – characteristics, backgrounds, behaviours and outcomes. *Child & Family Social Work*, 20(1):19–29. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cfs.12050>
- Mathews, R., Hunter, J. and Vuz, J. (1997) Juvenile female sexual offenders: Clinical characteristics and treatment issues. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 9(3):187–199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02675064>
- Miccio-Fonseca, L. (2016) MEGA cross-validation findings on sexually abusive females: Implications for risk assessment and clinical practice. *Journal of Family Violence*, 31(7):903–911. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-016-9845-8>
- Miccio-Fonseca, L. (2000) Adult and adolescent female sex offenders: Experiences compared to other female and male sex offenders. *Journal of Psychology & Human Sexuality*, 11(3):75–88. https://doi.org/10.1300/J056v11n03_08
- Mogavero, M. (2016) Autism, sexual offending, and the criminal justice system. *Journal of Intellectual Disabilities and Offending Behaviour*, 7(3):116–126. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIDOB-02-2016-0004>
- Moultrie, D. (2006) Adolescents convicted of possession of abuse images of children: A new type of adolescent sex offender? *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 12(2):165–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600600823670>
- Mouridsen, S. (2012) Current status of research on autism spectrum disorders and offending. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 6(1):79–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2011.09.003>

National Education Union and UK Feminista (2017) *“It’s Just Everywhere”: A Study on Sexism in Schools – and How We Tackle It*. London: NEU.

O’Callaghan, D (1998) Practice issues in working with young abusers who have learning disabilities. *Child Abuse Review*, 7(6):435–448.

[https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-0852\(199811/12\)7:6<435::AID-CAR517>3.0.CO;2-F](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0852(199811/12)7:6<435::AID-CAR517>3.0.CO;2-F)

Ofsted (2021) *Review of Sexual Abuse in Schools and Colleges*. London: Ofsted.

Ogloff, J., Cutajar, M., Mann, E. and Mullen, P. (2012) *Child Sexual Abuse and Subsequent Offending and Victimisation: A 45 Year Follow-up Study (Trends & Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice, No.440)*. Canberra: Australian Institute of Criminology.

Parks, G. (2007) Emerging data for risk prediction and identification of offender subgroups: Implications for specialised treatment and risk management. In Calder, M. (ed.) *Working with Children and Young People Who Sexually Abuse: Taking the Field Forward*. Lyme Regis: Russell House Publishing.

Patterson, T., Hobbs, L., Lorimer, M. and Rapsey, C. (2022) Sexual developmental histories of adolescents who engaged in harmful sexual behaviour. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology*, advance article. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14789949.2022.2122856>

Prentky, R., Li, N., Righthand, S., Schuler, A., Cavanaugh, D. and Lee, A. (2010) Assessing risk of sexually abusive behavior among youth in a child welfare sample. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*, 28(1):24–45. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bsl.920>

Quayle, E. and Cariola, L. (2017) *Youth-Produced Sexual Images: A Victim-Centred Consensus Approach*. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh.

Radford, L., Corral, S., Bradley, C., Fisher, H., Bassett, C., Howat, N. and Collishaw, S. (2011) *Child Abuse and Neglect in the UK Today*. London: NSPCC.

Ryan, G. (2000) Childhood sexuality: A decade of study. Part I – research and curriculum development. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 24(1):33–48.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(99\)00118-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(99)00118-0)

Ryan, G., Miyoshi, T., Metzner, J., Krugman, R. and Fryer, G. (1996) Trends in a national sample of sexually abusive youths. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35(1):17–25.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199601000-00008>

St Amand, A., Bard, D. and Silovsky, J. (2008) Meta-analysis of treatment for child sexual behavior problems: Practice elements and outcomes. *Child Maltreatment*, 13(2):145–166.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559508315353>

Salter, D., McMillan, D., Richards, M., Talbot, T., Hodges, J., Bentovim, A., Hastings, A., Stevenson, J. and Skuse, D. (2003) Development of sexually abusive behaviour in sexually victimised males: A longitudinal study. *The Lancet*, 361(9356):471–476.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)12466-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)12466-X)

Saunders, B., Berliner, L. and Hanson, R. (eds.) (2003) *Child Physical and Sexual Abuse: Guidelines for Treatment (Final Report)*. Charleston, SC: National Crime Victims Research and Treatment Center.

Schilling, E., Aseltine, R. and Gore, S. (2007) Adverse childhood experiences and mental health in young adults: A longitudinal survey. *BMC Public Health*, 7:30. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-7-30>

Scott, J. and Telford, P. (2006) Similarities and differences in working with girls and boys who display sexually harmful behaviour: The journey continues. In Erooga, M. and Masson, H. (eds.) *Children and Young People Who Sexually Abuse Others: Current Developments and Practice Responses (2nd edition)*. Abingdon: Routledge.

<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203000847>

Scott, S., McNeish, D., Bovarnick, S. and Pearce, J. (2019) *What Works in Responding to Child Sexual Exploitation*. Barking: Barnardo’s.

Scottish Government (2020) *The Expert Group on Preventing Sexual Offending Involving Children and Young People: Prevention of and Responses to Harmful Sexual Behaviour by Children and Young People*. Edinburgh: Scottish Government.

Skuse, D., Bentovim, A., Hodges, J., Stevenson, J., Andreou, C., Lanyado, M., New, M., Williams, B. and McMillan, D. (1998) Risk factors for the development of sexually abusive behaviour in sexually victimised adolescent boys: Cross sectional study. *BMJ*, 317(7152):175–179.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.317.7152.175>

Sneddon, H., Gojkovic-Grimshaw, D., Livingstone, N. and Macdonald, G. (2020) Cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) interventions for young people aged 10 to 18 with harmful sexual behaviour. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2020(6):CD009829.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009829.pub2>

Smith, C., Bradbury-Jones, C., Lazenbatt, A. and Taylor, J. (2013) *Provision for Young People Who Have Displayed Harmful Sexual Behaviour: An Understanding of Contemporary Service Provision for Young People Displaying Harmful Sexual Behaviour in a UK Context*. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh/NSPCC Child Protection Research Centre.

Stanley, N., Barter, C., Wood, M., Aghtaie, N., Larkins, C., Lanau, A. and Överlien, C. (2016) Pornography, sexual coercion and abuse and sexting in young people's intimate relationships: A European study. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 33(19):2919–2944. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260516633204>

Stevens, P., Hutchin, K., French, L. and Craissati, J. (2013) Developmental and offence-related characteristics of different types of adolescent sex offender: A community sample. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 19(2):138–157.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2011.645889>

Sullivan, P. and Knutson, J. (2000) Maltreatment and disabilities: A population-based epidemiological study. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 24:1257–1273.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(00\)00190-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(00)00190-3)

Svedin, C., Åkerman, I. and Priebe, G. (2011) Frequent users of pornography. A population based epidemiological study of Swedish male adolescents. *Journal of Adolescence*, 34(4):779–788.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2010.04.010>

Taylor, J. (2003) Children and young people accused of child sexual abuse: A study within a community. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 9(1):57–70.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/1355260031000149154>

Tener, D. (2021) “I love and hate him in the same breath”: Relationships of adult survivors of sexual abuse with their perpetrating siblings. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 36(13–14): NP6844–NP6866.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260518821462>

Tougas, A., Boisvert, I., Tourigny, M., Lemieux, A., Tremblay, C. and Gagnon, M. (2016) Psychosocial profile of children having participated in an intervention program for their sexual behavior problems: The predictor role of maltreatment. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, 25(2):127–141.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10538712.2016.1120258>

Vaswani, N. (2018) *Adverse Childhood Experiences in Children at High Risk of Harm to Others: A Gendered Perspective*. Glasgow: Children's and Young People's Centre for Justice.

Vizard, E., Hickey, N., French, L. and McCrory, E. (2007) Children and adolescents who present with sexually abusive behaviour: A UK descriptive study. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 18(1):59–73.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14789940601056745>

Ward, T., Mann, R. and Gannon, T. (2007) The good lives model of offender rehabilitation: Clinical implications. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 12(1):87–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2006.03.004>

Ward, T. and Siegert, R. (2002) Toward a comprehensive theory of child sexual abuse: A theory knitting perspective. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 8:319–351.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10683160208401823>

Weedon, V. (2015) Evaluation of the Good Way model: A treatment approach for young people with harmful sexual behaviour. [Doctoral thesis.] Auckland: Massey University.

Yates, P. and Allardyce, S. (2021) *Sibling Sexual Abuse: A Knowledge and Practice Overview*. Barking: Centre of expertise on child sexual abuse.

<https://doi.org/10.47117/PHLS7094>

Yoder, J., Leibowitz, G. and Peterson, L. (2018) Parental and peer attachment characteristics: Differentiating between youth sexual and non-sexual offenders and associations with sexual offense profiles. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 33(17):2643–2663.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260516628805>

Zimring, F., Jennings, W., Piquero, A. and Hays, S. (2009) Investigating the continuity of sex offending: Evidence from the second Philadelphia birth cohort. *Justice Quarterly*, 26(1):58–76.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/07418820801989734>

Other papers in the ‘Key messages from research’ series

Child sexual exploitation:

- Key messages for staff working in health settings
- Key messages for commissioning health care services
- Key messages for police
- Key messages for strategic commissioning of police services
- Key messages for social workers
- Key messages for strategic commissioning of children’s services
- Key messages for professionals in school settings
- Key messages for multi-agency working
- Gaps in the knowledge base

Intra-familial child sexual abuse

Institutional child sexual abuse

Identifying and responding to disclosures of child sexual abuse

Looked-after children and child sexual abuse

Child sexual abuse perpetrated by adults

Visit www.csacentre.org.uk/resources/key-messages

Please cite as:

McNeish, D. and Scott, S. (2023) *Key Messages from Research on Children and Young People Who Display Harmful Sexual Behaviour (second edition)*. Barking-side: Centre of expertise on child sexual abuse.

<https://doi.org/10.47117/NNXP7141>

